Responses to Reviewers' Comments for Manuscript MANUSCRIPT-ID

Title of Your Revised Manuscript

Addressed Comments for Publication to

Journal Name

by

Authors of MANUSCRIPT-ID

Dear Editor,

We are pleased to submit the revised version of our manuscript entitled "*Title of Your Revised Manuscript*" (**MANUSCRIPT-ID**) for consideration in *Journal Name*. We sincerely thank you and the reviewers for the careful evaluation of our work and for the constructive comments, which have helped us improve the manuscript substantially.

Specifically, we have made the following major revisions:

1. Briefly summarize the first major revision.
2. Briefly summarize the second major revision.
3. Briefly summarize the third major revision.
4. Briefly summarize the fourth major revision if needed.

In the attached response letter, we provide a point-by-point reply to every comment. For readability, reviewer comments are presented in boxed format, and revised or newly added manuscript text can be highlighted in blue if desired.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Corresponding Author Name
Affiliation
Address
Email: your.email@example.com

General Response

Summary Comment 1

Template for summary comments shared across reviewers
[Optional combined comment from Reviewer 1 / Reviewer 2 / Editor] Summarize a cross-cutting concern here, for example novelty, experimental validation, writing clarity, or limitations.

Response S1: Thank you for the important comment.

Provide a consolidated response when multiple reviewers raise the same issue. This section works well for:

- one shared explanation,
- one shared new experiment,
- one shared limitation discussion,
- or one shared manuscript revision.

If useful, you can reference the detailed reviewer-specific replies later in the document.

> *Example of revised manuscript text*
> Paste the revised manuscript paragraph here, or summarize the exact change made in the revised version.

Summary Comment 2

Template for editor-level summary comment
Use this block if the editor requests a higher-level clarification, additional positioning, or a restructuring of the manuscript.

Response S2: We appreciate the editor's guidance.

State clearly what was changed and where the change appears in the revised manuscript.

TABLE R. I. Optional placeholder for a response-only table.

Method	Metric 1	Metric 2
Baseline A	–	–
Your method	–	–

Response to Reviewer 1

Comment 1.1

Paste Reviewer 1 Comment 1 here.

Response 1.1: Thank you for this helpful suggestion.

Write a direct response first. A good structure is:

- acknowledge the comment,
- answer the scientific or technical question directly,
- describe the manuscript revision,
- point to the relevant section, figure, or table if applicable.

If exact manuscript wording was changed, you can show it below.

> *Section X.Y Title*
> Insert the revised text added to the manuscript here.

Comment 1.2

Paste Reviewer 1 Comment 2 here.

Response 1.2: Thank you for the comment.

Use this space for the second point-by-point response.

Comment 1.3

Paste Reviewer 1 Comment 3 here.

Response 1.3: Thank you for the comment.

If the response includes a new result, figure, or table, you can insert it in this section.




Fig. R. 1. Optional placeholder for a response-only figure.

Response to Reviewer 2

Comment 2.1

Paste Reviewer 2 Comment 1 here.

Response 2.1: Thank you for raising this point.

Write the response to Reviewer 2 Comment 1 here.

Comment 2.2

Paste Reviewer 2 Comment 2 here.

Response 2.2: Thank you for the comment.

Write the response to Reviewer 2 Comment 2 here.

> If this comment led to manuscript edits, show the key revised text here.

Comment 2.3

Paste Reviewer 2 Comment 3 here.

Response 2.3: Thank you for the comment.

Write the response to Reviewer 2 Comment 3 here.

References