Response Letter

Journal Title: ~~XXXXX~~
Manuscript ID: ~~XXXXX~~
Paper Title: ~~XXXXX~~

Dear editor and reviewers,

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to you and the three reviewers for providing us with the opportunity to revise our manuscript entitled "~~Paper Title~~" (Manuscript ID: ~~Manuscript ID~~). We are deeply appreciative of your thorough evaluation and constructive feedback. In response to your valuable suggestions, we have carefully revised the paper.

We have addressed all pertinent comments made by the reviewers, and these changes are reflected in the revised manuscript. Below, we provide detailed responses to each comment, along with the corresponding changes made. To improve the clarity of this response letter, all reviewers' comments are reproduced in *italic font*, while our responses are presented in regular font. Moreover, the main changes in text have been highlighted in blue within the black boxes of the revised manuscript. Similarly, all modifications to the figures and tables are also highlighted in blue text and blue background. Please note that the page numbers in black refer to the original manuscript, and the page numbers in blue refer to the revised manuscript. In addition, [...] indicates the same omitted part in both the original and revised manuscripts. Figures, tables, and equations that appear only in this response letter are labeled with the prefix "R", while those modified in the revised manuscript are indicated with their corresponding numbers.

Attached, please find the revised manuscript for your kind consideration and potential publication in the ~~Journal Title~~. We have uploaded the following documents: (1) a clean version of the revised manuscript without highlights (Main Manuscript), (2) a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments (Author Response), and (3) a revised manuscript with key changes highlighted in blue (Tracked Changes). In the highlighted manuscript attached at the end of this response letter, we annotate the margins of the text with ***x-y*** to indicate modifications addressing the y-th concern raised by the x-th reviewer. Please note that the editor is marked as the 0-th reviewer.

We hope that these revisions enhance the clarity and quality of our work and that the detailed explanations will convince the reviewers to re-assess the contribution of our submission. We sincerely appreciate the time and effort that the editor and reviewers have devoted to our manuscript, and we hope that the corrections we have made will meet with your approval. Finally, we look forward to your further consideration.

Yours sincerely,

~~Qiyu Chen~~

Contents

Response to Editor **1**

Comment 1

Concern 1 1

Concern 2 1

Response to Reviewer #1 **2**

Comment 2

Concern 1 2

Concern 2 2

Response to Reviewer #2 **3**

Comment 3

Concern 1 3

Concern 2 3

Response to Reviewer #3 **4**

Comment 4

Concern 1 4

Concern 2 4

Highlighted Manuscript **5**

Authors' Response to Editor

~~Manuscript ID~~

Comment (Editor):

Based on the enclosed set of reviews, I am recommending that the manuscript undergo a ~~MAJOR REVISION~~ and be resubmitted for consideration by ~~Journal Title~~.

Response:

Thank you for recommending a ~~major revision~~ of our manuscript. We greatly appreciate the thorough evaluation and the opportunity to enhance our work. We have carefully addressed all the concerns and suggestions point-by-point raised in the reviews, and we believe that the revisions have significantly strengthened the manuscript.

Concern 1 (Editor):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Concern 2 (Editor):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Authors' Response to Reviewer #1

~~Manuscript ID~~

Comment (Reviewer #1):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Strengths~~.

Response:

Thank you for your positive feedback and for recognizing the contributions of our work. We are glad that you find our method noteworthy and aligned with ~~Journal Title~~'s high standards. We have carefully addressed your suggestions and made the necessary revisions to the manuscript. Below are our detailed responses and the corresponding changes made.

Concern 1 (Reviewer #1):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Concern 2 (Reviewer #1):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Authors' Response to Reviewer #2

~~Manuscript ID~~

Comment (Reviewer #2):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Strengths~~.

Response:

Thank you for your positive feedback and for recognizing the contributions of our work. We are glad that you find our method noteworthy and aligned with ~~Journal Title~~'s high standards. We have carefully addressed your suggestions and made the necessary revisions to the manuscript. Below are our detailed responses and the corresponding changes made.

Concern 1 (Reviewer #2):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Concern 2 (Reviewer #2):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Authors' Response to Reviewer #3

~~Manuscript ID~~

Comment (Reviewer #3):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Strengths~~.

Response:

Thank you for your positive feedback and for recognizing the contributions of our work. We are glad that you find our method noteworthy and aligned with ~~Journal Title~~'s high standards. We have carefully addressed your suggestions and made the necessary revisions to the manuscript. Below are our detailed responses and the corresponding changes made.

Concern 1 (Reviewer #3):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Concern 2 (Reviewer #3):

In this manuscript, ~~Paper Concern~~.

Response:

Thank you for your constructive suggestion. ~~Paper Response~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Left-~~X~~] Original Version:
~~Original Version~~.

[Page ~~X~~, Right-~~X~~] Revised Version:
~~Revised Version~~.

Paper Title

Qiyu Chen

***Abstract*—**

1-1
3-2

***Index Terms*—**

I. Introduction